

## Talking Points: SB 614

SB 614 is based on bad science and falsehoods: “abortion reversal” is not a legitimate medical procedure.

- The concept of an abortion reversal is based on a 2012 study of six women by anti-abortion doctor George Delgado in which the methodology was not scientifically sound and the results were inconclusive.
- In the study, women were given an injection of progesterone after taking the first medication in a medication abortion, and before they took the second medication. Some of those women carried to term - which may not be because of the injection, but rather because the first medication isn't designed to work on its own so the abortion doesn't complete.
- This study was not supervised by an institutional review board (IRB) or ethical review committee required to protect human research subjects, raising serious questions regarding the validity of the results. In addition, medical professionals have concerns about the experimental nature of using progesterone treatment.
- Eight notable medical groups including the American Academy of Family Physicians, the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the American Medical Women's Association signed onto a letter stating that the medication abortion reversal claim is wholly unsubstantiated by any reliable evidence, with no basis in medical science.
- In 2016, the Louisiana Department of Health completed a report for the state on whether a medical abortion could be reversed. The panel unanimously concluded that there was neither sufficient evidence nor a scientific basis to conclude that a medication abortion can be reversed. They also expressed great concern with the safety, efficacy, and ethics of the Delgado study.

SB 614 aims to undermine a person's right to a safe abortion and is dangerous for people's health.

- Medication abortion, used during the first-trimester of a person's pregnancy, is one of the safest medical procedures and poses a minimal risk—less than 0.05%—of major complications that might need hospital care. It is clear that this bill is not about safety, but instead is an act of political interference in a person's personal, private medical decision.

- Legislative mandates based on unproven, unethical research are dangerous to person's health. There are no other circumstances or procedures in which physicians or provide un-sound medical advice to patients.

SB 614 undermines the informed consent process and misleads people.

- Requiring physicians to tell people that a medication abortion may be reversed—despite no scientific or medical evidence to support this claim—undermines the informed consent process and risks misleading people to believe they do not need to be certain about their decision before beginning the medication abortion process.
- This bill is founded on the premise that a person would or should regret the decision to have an abortion, however medical providers are advised not to perform the procedure on patients who have not made a firm decision. Studies show that few people experience uncertainty once they decide to have an abortion.